Gary Shaw
805 N. Main St.,
Cleburne, TX 76033-0722

5/26.94

Dear Gary,

In yesterday's mail I got a clipping a copy of which I enclose. The paper is not indicated. The friend ~~xxx~~ who sent it gets The Wall Street Journal and the Louisville, Ky. Courier Journal. The marks are his. He is a lawyer, by the way. I note the denial that Oliver Stone is behind the suits. I'm sorry about that. As I'm sorry that his so-called assassination experts do not know their facts of the case. I'm sorry, too that he is unaware of the help to him in his controversy with Posner in Case Open and in NEVER AGAIN! I wrote Rusconi and told her that if he wanted the full book only about 20-25% was published I could have it xeroxed for him. She never responded. I won't go into the coming book but I tell you he could never expect to find such validation of what he was saying, as distinguished from how he said it, and all from official records. He and his never understood that my sole objection was to telling the people that what was inevitably fiction was non-fiction.

I've finished the first two Lundberg transcripts. Kizzia is impressive. And remarkably patient. I've gotten an entirely different impression of Lundberg than from the articles and what he's said. He is a shyster. Arrogant, too. Self-important, omniscient. Before I go into what I have in mind on this, something I do not want to forget that to this point Kizzia does not reflect knowing. Lundberg said he decided on the articles as an answer to Stone.

There is what I think of as intellectual judo, using an opponent's strength against him. Lundberg set himself up for this kind of questioning with the official fact I have in NEVER AGAIN! if that interests Kizzia, although I suspect the amount of time it would take works against that.

On the chance that it might be something he might want to consider as I've been reading this second volume I've been putting paperclips on pages when he set himself up for it, where I think his words can be used as a basis for legitimizing those questions.

I have a suspicion that may or may not have occured to Kizzia, that Lundberg's confidential sources could include feds, particularly the FBI. I doubt he had any such source with important medical info. on the autopsy. His sources were the source of other things, I think.

I think that because of the experience he reflects and his self-concept he'll have real trouble being confronted with the kind of official fact I have in mind if asked questions cited to specific language in his deposition. "Is this what you meant by....?" Especially when he thinks of what it all does to his reputation and fees as an expert or teacher.

Best,

Harold